

"Regarding the recent GBA meeting

Good meeting for all - many complicated issues - real need for complete understanding of issues, results, funding & responsibilities and ultimate implications.

For NSJWCD -

- Our boundary request was favorably reviewed and passed along to the working group for further review. Sac County was present and seemed to be OK - question is Amador County South of Dry Creek?
- North of the river is not critical overdraft while south is - state says that if the boundary is moved north, the north area will also be considered as critical - that is current understanding and could change.
- Funding from the state via prop 1 is critical considering the expense. State will probably issue one grant per sub-basin - if we go alone or without all entities in the sub-basin the opportunity for state funding is questionable for some. == \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$
- If separate plans are developed within the sub-basin, the state will ultimately require coordination, uniformity within the basin - =? in reality one plan for the entire sub-basin?
- Supervisor Winn reaffirmed county position they are there to help, not control. It is up to the individual agencies.
- Side thought - all interested parties need to be involved in one way or another - the Enviro have not been invited to the party but my guess they will be at the table sooner or later.
- In my mind, substantial issue will the education of the public - we need to bring them along now [kicking & screaming?] or they will be Kicking and screaming later and it will not be a pretty picture for all of us."